

# **Crossroads Resource Center**

P.O. Box 7423 / Minneapolis, Minnesota 55407 / USA / 612.869.8664 <kmeter@crcworks.org> <a href="http://www.crcworks.org/">http://www.crcworks.org/</a>

Tools for Community Self-determination

# **Meeting Evaluation**

# **Clarifying Vision and Indicators**

Minneapolis Sustainability Roundtable May 12, 2004

# Please circle a number below to indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree		
I am glad that I participated in this meeting	0	0	1	4	9	
The issues I care most about are represented in this process	0	0	1	5	8	
I think a thorough and diverse set of issues is well-represente	ed here 0	0	5	2	7	
This meeting was well-facilitated	0	1	2	4	7	
I support the draft 50-year vision as is, or with minor change	s 0	2	6	3	3	
I support the draft indicators as is, or with minor changes	0	2	6	4	2	
I am interested in helping shepherd the Sustainability Plan	2	1	2	3	4	

Percent answering	Strongly	Disagree		Strong	ly Agree
Glad I participated	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	64.3%
Issues I care most	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	35.7%	57.1%
Thorough & diverse set	0.0%	0.0%	35.7%	14.3%	50.0%
Well-facilitated	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%
Support this draft Vision	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%
Support this draft Indicators	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%
Will help shepherd	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%

Percent answering	Disagree	Agree
Glad I participated	0.0%	92.9%
Issues I care most	0.0%	92.9%
Thorough & diverse set	0.0%	64.3%
Well-facilitated	7.1%	78.6%
Support this draft Vision	14.3%	42.9%
Support this draft Indicators	14.3%	42.9%
Will help shepherd	21.4%	50.0%

# What did you like best about the meeting?

People in the room

Ideas/variety of people participating. Mix of people. The folks that came and their trust in you! Friendly, informal, neighborly, intelligent. Listening to people in the <u>group.</u> Small group. Opportunity to meet in small groups.

What we addressed:

Excellent, given limited time and huge subject! That we are really working on something. Opportunity to walk through what is here.

Organization of material.

Well-organized. Orderly and almost on-time. Great handouts!

# How could the meeting have been improved?

More time: More time. Meeting was OK, but limited time and not strategically used as well as I hoped. The meeting started late. A bit more time. Only more time to talk. I know this is hard. More frequent meetings. Do some of this work via <u>e-mail.</u>

#### Diversity:

Keep working on more diversity. African-American, youth and Asian-American could have been better represented.

# What other feedback or suggestions about the meeting would you like to give?

#### Indicators:

This is not a through set of indicators, but is diverse. I am willing to support the indicator process, though I cannot be directly involved.

Focus:

The discussion lacked focus when discussing the vision.

# Location:

Great location! Excellent space in community-friendly setting.

# Follow-up:

Get list of participants' names, organizational affiliations, contact information.

# **Participants:**

22 people participated in Roundtable, including 2 project coordinators. Two others brought comments but could not attend. 14 of the 20 participants returned evaluation forms (70%).

- \_\_\_11\_\_\_ Residents of Minneapolis
- \_\_\_ 5 \_\_\_ Members of a Neighborhood Association
- 0 Staff of a Neighborhood Association
  1 Board of a Neighborhood Association
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4 \_\_\_ Staff of a non-profit organization \_\_\_\_\_7 \_\_\_ Educators
- \_\_11\_\_ Consultants
- \_\_\_ 2 \_\_\_ Others: anthropologist, nonprofit board member

The above material represents all comments from all written evaluations handed in at the meeting.